## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Luis Alberto Morales-Jimenez	Case No. 1:12-cr-00164-RJJ
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Rethat the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – F	Findings of Fact
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence i	s death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
<u> </u>	*
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but i	nvolves:
a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearr a failure to register under 18 U.S	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defende	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.
·	ive Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	
for which a maximum prison term of ten years	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
Alternat	ive Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	pear.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	ger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement o	f the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at	the detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	June 27, 2012	Judge's Signature: _/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	